N-terminal specific protein modification

Literature seminar #1 2020.1.30 B4 Tamiko Nozaki

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini
- 3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation
- 4. Summary

- 2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini
- 3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation
- 4. Summary

Protein Bioconjugation

<u>Cysteine side chain modification</u>



• Inappropriate for proteins whose catalytic function or structural stability rely on the Cys.

✓ Lysine side chain modification



Fig. 1 Structures of currently FDA approved ADCs. (a) Brentuximab vedotin (Adcetris®; Seattle Genetics/Millennium Pharmaceuticals);⁴ and (b) ado-trastuzumab emtansine (Kadcyla® – T-DM1; Roche/Genentech).⁵ NHS ester: *N*-hydroxysuccinimide ester; Val-Cit linker: valine-citrulline linker; SMCC: succinimidyl-4-[*N*-maleimidomethyl]-cyclohexane-1-carboxylate; DM1: thiol-containing maytansinoid. MMAE: monomethyl auristatin E.

Akkapeddi, P., Azizi, S., Freedy, A. M., Cal, Pedro M. S. D, Gois, P. M. P., & Bernardes, G. J. L. (2016).. *Chemical Science*, *7*(5), 2954-2963.

Products are tend to heterogeneous mixture with altered activity.

Protein Bioconjugation



- Trp : The least abundant (~1%)
 - Little surface exposure
 - Included in the primary sequences of 90% of native proteins

→Targeting Trp is the promising strategy to high selectivity while maintaining structural integrity.

✓ N-terminus is also the emerging target of of site-specific modification.

N-terminus as the target of protein modification

• α -amine group of the *N*-terminus is a uniquely reactive site for chemical modification in single-chain protein.

- *N*-terminal amine is charged as well as Lysine at physiological condition.
- 80.3 % of the N-terminal residues are solvent exposed .
- N-terminal modification has minimal effect for the overall protein structure.



	Avg. pK _a	Avg. abundance	
Lysine	10.5	5.9%	Figure 1 Schematic representation of the average abundance of the amino acids commonly used for bioconjugation, including the corresponding pK _a values of their side chains. The average (avg.)
Cysteine	8.0	1.9%	
Aspartic acid	3.5	5.3%	pK_a value of the N-terminal α -ammonium group is substantially lower than those of lysine ε -ammonium groups. This facilitates, but does not guarantee, site-specific modification at that position (RNAse A is shown;
Glutamic acid	4.0	6.3%	PDB ID: 2QCA).
N terminus	6-8	Unique	

Rosen CB, Francis MB. (2017), Nature chemical biology. 7;13(7):697-705.

 ✓ N-terminal residues are easily accessible, high selective modification target with little disruption to protein function.

pK_a of the α -amino group of N-terminal amino acid

Electrostatic interactions have significant effect on the pK_a of the α -amino group.

- α-carbonyl group
- *N*-terminal residue

Peptide analogue ^a	This study ^ø	Free amino acid ^e	
Pro (P)	7.1	10.6	
Gly (G)	7.0	9.8	
Asp (D)	6.8	9.6	
Ala (A)	6.8	9.7	
Glu (E)	6.6	9.7	
Val (V)	6.5	9.6	
Ile (1)	6.4	9.7	
Gln (Q)	6.4	9.1	
Trp (W)	6.3	9.4	
Ser (S)	6.3	9.2	TAB
Thr (T)	6.3	9.1	- 12
Leu (L)	6.3	9.6	pK,
His (H)	6.3	9.2	ANA
Lys (K)	6.2	9.2	TER
Asn (N)	6.1	8.8	
Arg (R)	6.1	9.0	Sere
Tyr (Y)	6.1	9.1	
Met (M)	6.1	9.2	Jour
Phe (F)	6.0	9.2	
Cys (C)	-	10.5	



TABLE II

pK_a VALUES OF THE α -AMINO GROUPS OF PEPTIDE ANALOGUES CONTAINING 19 DIFFERENT N-TERMINAL AMINO ACID RESIDUES

Sereda TJ, Mant CT, Quinn AM, Hodges RS.,(1993), Journal of Chromatography A,646(1):17-30.

 \checkmark pK_a of α -amino group is around 6-8 (pK_a of ϵ -amino group of Lysine : 10.3)

N-terminal modification for therapeutics

Pegfilgrastim (Neulasta)

: N terminally PEGylated human recombinant granulocyte colony-simulating factor (rh-GCSF)



https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/auspar-pegfilgrastim-190328-pi.pdf

 ✓ Increasing the stability and circulation half time of protein without substantial effects on protein function and charge.

pH control method



(Rosen CB, Francis MB., 2017)

○ Applied to any *N*-terminal amino acids and the wide variety of attachment group.

Complete site specificity is rarely achieved.

Figure 2 | Selective modification of protein N termini using pH control. Methods include (from the top) acylation using an activated ester, alkylation by reductive (red.) amination with aldehydes, azide incorporation with a diazotransfer reagent, and acylation using a ketene for the introduction of an alkyne.

Using Specific side chain amino acid



Tryptophan





(Rosen CB, Francis MB., 2017)

Figure 3 | Modification of specific amino acids at protein N termini.

(a) N-terminal cysteine modification through native chemical ligation with thioesters, condensation with aldehydes and condensation with 2-cyanobenzothiazole derivatives. (b) Pictet-Spengler reactions, in which tryptophan residues form cyclic products with aldehydes.
(c) Functionalization of N-terminal serine or threonine residues by condensation with aldehydes to form oxazolidines or through oxidation with sodium periodate (NaIO₄) to generate an aldehyde that can be further modified by oxime formation with alkoxyamines.

These methods need introduction of specific amino acid at N-terminus.

N-terminal transamination

(Rosen CB, Francis MB., 2017)



11

Other one step chemical modification

Imidazolidinone formation



O Potentially general approach.

The absence of a proline in the second position is necessary.

Oxidative coupling

b



(Rosen CB, Francis MB., 2017)

Figure 5 | One-step modification of protein N termini. (**a**) Imidazolidinone formation with 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde (2PCA) derivatives. (**b**) Oxidative coupling of *o*-aminophenols to N-terminal proline residues in the presence of potassium ferricyanide ($K_3Fe(CN)_6$).

Free Cys are reacted with *orhto*-aminophenol.

N-terminal modification by enzymes



Figure 7 | Enzyme-mediated N-terminal protein modification. (a) Sortase A (SrtA)-catalyzed transpeptidation of an LPXTG peptide derivative for attachment to an H₂N-(G)_n-protein (X can be any amino acid). (b) Acylation of an H₂N-GXXXS/T-protein with an azide-containing fatty acid using *N*-myristoyltransferase (NMT) (X can vary). (c) Attachment of a glycolate ester substrate mediated by subtiligase. R₁, R₂, and X₄-X₁ can vary, and the residues X₄ and X₁ dominate substrate specificity. (d) N-terminal acetylation with an Asn/Asp thiodepsipeptide using butelase 1. Here R₁ can be any amino acid side chain except Pro, and R₂ is Ile, Val, Leu or Cys.

\bigcirc The reactions occur under mild condition.

^{1. Introduction} Summary of *N*-terminus selective protein modification methods

	Independence of amino acid sequence	The accessibility of the reagent	Site selectivity	High yield
pH control	0	\bigcirc/\bigcirc	\bigtriangleup	\bigcirc
Using Specific side chain amino acid	×	$\bigcirc / \bigtriangleup$	$\bigcirc / \bigtriangleup$	-
transamination	\bigcirc	\bigtriangleup	\bigcirc	-
Imidazolidinone formation	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	-
Oxidative coupling	×	\bigtriangleup	\bigtriangleup	\bigcirc
enzymes		\bigtriangleup	\bigcirc	-

→ Imidazoline formation method would be the general and selective method for N-terminal modification.

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini

- 3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation
- 4. Summary

Identification of 2PCA



Scheme 1. Formation of oxime 6 from the N-terminal aspartic acid [a] Conditions: 1 mm 1, 10 mm aldehyde, 50 mm phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), 37 °C, 2 h. Product distributions were determined by ESI-MS. Gilmore, J. M., Scheck, R. A., Esser-Kahn, A. P., Joshi, N. S., & Francis, M. B. (2006). ACIE, 45(32), 5307-5311.





Optimization of aldehyde

MacDonald JI, Munch HK, Moore T, Francis MB.(2015). Nature chemical biology;11(5):326-331.



2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini Verification of *N*-terminus selective modification by 2PCA

m⁄z

\checkmark Imidazoline formation is N-terminal selective.



 Modification does not occur when N terminal is blocked or the second

(MacDonald JI et al, 2015)

800

17

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini Reactivity of various N-terminal amino acids with 2PCA



(d) The reaction showed good-to-excellent levels of conversion as quantified by LC/MS for a panel of X-ADSWAG peptides, where X represents a variable amino acid position. Conditions for **b**–**d**: 100 µM peptide, 10 mM 2PCA (or derivative), 10 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 and 37 $^\circ\,\,$ C. The samples were incubated for 1 h in **b** and for 4 h in **c** and **d**.

(e) The PADSWAG peptide was subjected to similar conditions as those in d but at pH 8.5. For d and e, experiments were run in triplicate, and error bars represent the s.d.

\checkmark All of the N-terminal amino acid residues provided relatively good yields.

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini

Bioconjugation of diverse functional group



2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini N-terminal selective bioconjugation with 2PCA



2PCA :

One-step selective *N*-terminal modification method under mild condition without genetic engineering

Identification of TA4C

2PCA



✓ TA4C would be the one-pot synthesized *N*-terminal selective modification reagenta

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini Verification of *N*-terminus selective modification by TA4C

✓ *N*-terminal selective Imidazoline formation was confirmed.



Modification does not occur when *N*-terminal is blocked or the second amino acid is Pro.

1169.73 (**a**₈)

1197.6 (**b**₈)

1200

m/z

1400

1600 2

Bioconjugation of diverse functional group

Optimized condition



(Onoda et al, 2019)



Figure 3. (b) Chemical structures of functional molecules attached to a TA4C moiety and relative ratio of modification of RNase. Conditions: protein (50 μ M), 1, 10, 11 (10 mM) or 12 (20 mM), phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.5) at 37 ° C for 16 h.

✓ *N*-terminal specific attachment of various functional molecules to protein

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini Facile process for generation of TA4C reagents

Dimroth rearrangement : 1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,,3-triazole-4-carbaldehyd



✓ Two step *N*-terminal modification

14250

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein N-termini

TA4C reagent with azide/alkyne group for chemical modification



Dimroth rearrangement enables to prepare TA4C reagent with azide or alkyne group. → Strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition with functional moiety.

TA4C :

One step *N*-terminal protein modification reagent synthesized in CuAAC reaction or Dimroth rearrangement

2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini Summary of *N*-terminus selective imidazoline formation



- 2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini
- 3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation
- 4. Summary

Cytosolic Delivery of Proteins



Sangsuwan, R., Tachachartvanich, P., & Francis, M. B. (2019). JACS, 141(6), 2376-2383.

Amphiphilic polymer



Lin, C. J., Sperling, R. A., Li, J. K., Yang, T., Li, P., Zanella, M., . . . Parak, W. J. (2008). Small, 4(3), 334-341.

Polymer backbone : poly(isobutylene-*alt*-maleic anhydride) (→hydrophilicity)
 → Alkylamine chains are linked by the direct amidation (→hydrophobicity)
 → Functional groups with an amino terminal group are also linked to the polymer²⁹

3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation methods

2PCA containing polymer



Protein-polymer conjugation

Sangsuwan, R., Tachachartvanich, P., & Francis, M. B. (2019). JACS, 141(6), 2376-2383.



GFP-labeled polymers in living cell

3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation methods





Figure 4. Uptake of GFP-labeled polymers into living cells. (a) Confocal microscopy images are shown for HeLa cells after exposure to GFP-polymer conjugates. Nuclear and cytoplasmic stains were performed on fixed cells using DAPI and ActinRed, respectively. Scale bars represent 20 μ m. (b) HeLa cells were treated with GFP alone (10 μ M), GFP conjugated to polymer H (10 μ M), or fluoresceinlabeled polymer (5 μ M) for 2 h at 37 °C. Flow cytometry data are shown, along with the medians of the fluorescence intensity values. Error bars represent the standard errors resulting from three independent experiments. ****p < 0.0001 indicates a significant difference between GFP-polymer-treated cells and unmodified GFPtreated cells.

 Polymer conjugated protein is efficiently delivered into the living cell.

3. Application of *N*-terminus selective

Delivery of RNase A as a Cytotoxic Cargo Proteinidazolidinone formation methods



Figure 6. Delivery of RNase A to cells as a model cytotoxic cargo molecule. (a) Enzymatic activity of RNase A is unaffected by polymer attachment. Absorbance at 300 nm was recorded at 1 min intervals. (b) HeLa cells were incubated alone (control), with polymer H, or with the conjugate of RNase A to polymer H for 6 h at 37° C. Viability was measured using an MTT assay and is reported as the percentage of surviving cells compared to the untreated control. Error bars represent the standard error of three independent experiments. ****p < 0.0001 indicates a significant difference between RNase A- polymer H-treated cells and unmodified RNase A-treated cells. (Sangsuwan, R. *et al.* (2019))

- ✓ Polymer conjugation did not affect the activity of RNase A.
- ✓ RNase A-polymer exhibited cytotoxic effect.
- \rightarrow Polymer conjugation did not effect the function of RNase A.

3. Application of *N*-terminus selective 2PCA method for ADC –Synthesis of 2PCA derivative

N terminal modification with 2PCA

\rightarrow Site specific modification of antibody

with high stability and selectivity under mild condition



Figure 1. Synthesis of 6-AM-2-PCA and its application for N-terminal modification of peptides or proteins. (A) 6-AM-2-PCA is synthesized through azidization and subsequent oxidation of 6-(bromomethyl)-2-pyridinemethanol (1) to yield an azido intermediate (2) and ultimately, pyridinecarboxyaldehyde (3). (B) N-terminal modification of a protein with 6-AM-2-PCA results in an azide appended to the protein that orthogonally reacts with a DBCO derivative through the aldehyde-amine reaction.

Li, D., Han, B., Wei, R., Yao, G., Chen, Z., Liu, J., . . . Zhao, Q. (2018).. mAbs, 10(5), 712-719.

6-AM-2PCA : Azide derivative of 2PCA \rightarrow click reaction

3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazolidinone formation methods

2PCA method for ADC – modification of antibody

N terminal Variable domain (V_H) ✓ Fluorescence-coupled anti HER2 Fab via 6-AM-2PCA was distributed at plasma membranes. Fab region ariable Variable domain region (VL) L chain Constant region C terminal **ATTO 488** DAPI merge H chain Fc region Anti-her2 lgG C terminal https://www.takarabio.com/learningcenters/cdna-synthesis/cloning-antibodyvariable-regions 50 µm 50 µm 50 µm Figure 6. Immunostaining of SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells by 6-AM-2-PCA-conjugated anti-Her2 antibodies. (A) Three panels (left to right) represent fluorescence of SK-BR- 3 Control cells stained with modified anti-Her2 Fab followed by DBCO-ATTO 488, nuclei stained with DPAI, and the mergedimage. (B) Three panels (left to right) represent fluorescence of SK-BR-3 cells stained with DBCO-ATTO 488 alone, nuclei stained with DPAI, and the merged image.

- 2. Imidazolidinone formation of protein *N*-termini
- 3. Application of *N*-terminus selective imidazoline formation methods

4. Summary

 ✓ N-terminal residues are easily accessible, high selective modification target with little disruption to protein function.

 ✓ Imidazoline formation by 2PCA or TA4C is the favorable for *N*-terminal modification with high generality and selectivity under mild condition.

 ✓ N-terminal selective imidazolidinone formation would be applied to therapeutic applications including material delivery and ADC.
Appendix

Endogenous N-terminus modification

Nt-modification	Enzyme	Protein ^{b)}	Alternative name	UniProt	Substrate specificity ^{c)}
iMet excision	MetAP	MetAP1	MAP1A, Peptidase M 1	P53582	Met-Ala-, Met-Cys-, Met-Gly-, Met-Pro-, Met-Ser-, Met-Thr-, Met-Val-
		MetAP2	MAP2, MNPEP, P67EIF2	P50579	
		ND			Met-Asp-, Met-Glu-
Acetylation	NatA	Naa10 ^{cat}	ARD1, ARD1A, TE2	P41227	Ala-, Cys-, Gly-, Ser-, Thr-, Val-, Asp ^{a)} -, Glu ^{a)} -
Propionylation ^{a)}		Naa15 ^{aux}	NAT1, GA19, NARG1, NATH, TBN	Q9BXJ9	
	NatB	Naa20 ^{cat}	NAT3, NAT5	P61599	Met-Asn-, Met-Asp-, Met-Gln-, Met-Glu-
		Naa25 ^{aux}	MDM20, NAP1	Q14CX7	
	NatC	Naa30 ^{cat}	MAK3, NAT12	Q1473	Met-Ile-, Met-Leu-, Met-Phe-, Met-Trp-
		Naa35 ^{aux}	MAK10, EGAP,	Q5VZE5	
		Naa38 ^{aux}	MAK31, LSMD1, PFAAP2	Q9BRA0	
	NatD	Naa40 ^{cat}	NAT4, NAT11	Q86UY6	Ser-Gly-Gly-, Ser-Gly-Arg-
	NatE	Naa50 ^{cat}	MAK3, NAT13, SAN	Q9GZZ1	Met-Ala-, Met-Leu-, Met-Lys-, Met-Phe-, Met-Ser-, Met-Thr-, Met-Tyr- Met-Val-
	NatF	Naa60 ^{cat}	NAT15, HAT4	Q9H7X0	Met-Ala-, Met-Gin-, Met-Giy-, Met-Ile-, Met-Leu-, Met-Lys-, Met-Met-, Met-Ser-, Met-Thr-, Met-Tyr-, Met-Val-
Methylation	NTMT	NTMT1	METTL11A, NRMT1, NRMT1A	Q9BV86	Ala/Pro/Ser-Pro-Lys-
		Tae1 (S.c)	NTM1	P38340	
		NTMT2	METTL11B, NRMT2, NTM1B	Q5VVY1	
Myristoylation	NMT	NMT1	NMT	P30419	Gly-
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		NMT2		O60551	
Palmitoylation	PAT	Hhat	MART2, SKI1, Skn	Q5VTY9	Cys-
		Rasp (D.m)	cmn, sit, ski	Q9VZU2	,
		ND			Gly-
Ubiquitylation	Ubiquitin	Ube2w	UBC16, UBC-16,	Q96B02	Unstructured N-terminal backbone
	ligase	HUWE1	ARF-BP1, HectH9, LASU1, Mule, UREB1, URE-B1	Q7Z6Z7	ND

Table 1. Protein N-terminal modifications specified by the responsible enzymes and their substrate specificity

a) N-terminal propionylation is catalyzed by the same enzymes as N-terminal acetylation (NATs), and the substrate specificity is presumably shared.

b) All proteins listed are human except where the species is indicated.

c) The indicated amino acid sequences do not guarantee N-terminal modification.

d) Naa10 substrates.

ND, not determined; Aux, auxiliary subunit; Cat, catalytic subunit; S.c, Saccharomyces cerevisiae; D.m, Drosophila melanogaster.

Varland S, Osberg C, Arnesen T., PROTEOMICS. 2015;15(14):2385-2401.

 ✓ Most proteins expressed in bacteria and some protein expressed in eukaryotes including antibodies could be the target of artificial *N*-terminal modification.



Fig. 5. Plot of retention time of acetylated peptide analogue minus the retention time of the non-acetylated peptide analogue versus pH. Column: PLRP-S ($250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm I.D.}$, 5 μ m, 100 Å). Mobile phase: linear A-B gradient elution (2% B/min equivalent to 1% acetonitrile/min) at a flow-rate of 1 ml/min. In the *pH* 2 system, A is 20 mM aqueous H₃PO₄ containing 2% acetonitrile and B is 20 mM H₃PO₄ in acetonitrile-water (1:1); in the *pH* 4–7 system, A is 20 mM aqueous triethylammonium phosphate (TEAP) containing 2% acetonitrile and B is 20 mM to 1% acetonitrile/min), A is 10 mM aqueous (NH₄)₂HPO₄ containing 2% acetonitrile and B is 10 mM (NH₄)₂HPO₄ in acetonitrile-water (1:1), both eluents containing 100 mM sodium perchlorate. Panels A-C represent 11 examples of the peptide analogues used in this study.

Model peptide Ac-X-LGAKGAGVG-amide H-X-LGAKGAGVG-amide

Modification of Cysteine at protein N terminus



Native chemical ligation

Malins, L. R., & Payne, R. J. (2014). *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology, 22*, 70-78.



- The reaction is relatively slow (48 h).
- It requires acidic condition.

• A large excess of the aldehyde is needed. \rightarrow ortho-boronic stabilizes the thiazolidinoboronate product through $N \rightarrow B$ coordination.

Modification of Cysteine at protein N terminus

Condensation with 2-cyanobenzothiazole derivatives



Zheng, Z., Chen, P., Li, G., Zhu, Y., Shi, Z., Luo, Y., . . . Liang, G. (2017). Chemical Science, 8(1), 214-222.

○ The reaction is rapid at neutral pH and generates stable product. →CBT reacts with _D-cysteine in the final step of _D-luciferin synthesis.

Oxidative coupling



Obermeyer, A. C., Jarman, J. B., & Francis, M. B. (2014). JACS, 136(27), 9572-9579.

- Proline still stood out as the most reactive species.
- Free cysteine residues can be oxidized.

Synthesis of 2PCA intermediate



(MacDonald JI et al, 2015)

Protein scope for N-terminal biotunylation



Figure 3 | Site-specific attachment of 2PCA-biotin reagent 7 a to ten protein substrates, as characterized using ESI-TOF MS. For each entry, the molecular weight and the N-terminal amino acids are specified. For clarity, all starting proteins are labeled 'SM', and species corresponding to the correct product mass are labeled 'P'. Uteroglobin is modified up to two times because the protein is a disulfide dimer and has two N termini. Asparaginase is not modified because it has a proline residue in position 2, and cytochrome c is acylated at the N terminus. These latter examples serve as negative controls, underscoring the fact that protein side chains do not participate in this chemistry. Conditions: 25 µM protein, 10 mM **7a**, 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 and 37 °C for 16 h. (MacDonald JI *et al*, 2015)

Synthesis of TA4C precursor



 $R_1 = H, 2-CI, 4-CI, 2-OMe, 4-OMe, 4-OH, 3-CF_3, 4-NO_2$

Reagents and conditions: (i) NaNO₂, HCl 10%; NaN₃, 2-4 hr, rt; (ii) propargyl alcohol, CuSO₄.5H₂O, sodium ascorbate. H₂O, DMF, 3 hr, rt; (iii) IBX/DMSO, 3 hr, rt.

Goud, G. L., Ramesh, S., Ashok, D., Reddy, V. P., Yogeeswari, P., Sriram, D., ... & Manga, V. (2017), *MedChemComm, 8*(3), 559-570. (Search in SciFinder)

Two step modification with TA4C



An amine precursor in DMSO (200 mM, 20 μ L, final concentration: 100 mM) and 13 in DMSO (200 mM, 20 μ L, final concentration: 100 mM) were mixed in a tube (1 mL). To the solution was added MOPS in H2O (400 mM, 1 μ L, final concentration: 10 mM), and the mixture was incubated at 99 ° C for 30 min. The Dimroth rearrangement reaction was assessed by 1H NMR measurement (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) (Figure S15~S18).

RNase in H2O (1 mM, 2.5 μ L, final concentration: 50 μ M) was diluted with potassium phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.5, 42.5 μ L). To the resulting solution, a crude solution of TA4C 1, 10, 14 or 15 in DMSO (5.0 μ L) was added, and the mixture was incubated at 37 ° C for 16 h. The protein was then purified several times by centrifugal filtration in an Amicon Ultra-0.5 centrifugal filter device with a 10-kDa molecular weight cutoff (Millipore). Modification of proteins was analyzed by LC-MS.

Polymer variants

polymer	equiv. of A	actual A/polymer
С	0	0
D	1	2
E	5	4
F	10	5
G	20	12
н	40	16



 ✓ Polymer H showed the greatest degree of conjugation (~68 %) and greater cellar uptake.



Figure S3. The confocal microscopy study of the conjugates of GFP to polymers **D-H** in HeLa cells. Nuclear and cytoplasmic stains were performed on fixed cells using DAPI and ActinRed, respectively. Scale bars represent 20 μ m. Cells were treated with the conjugates of GFP to polymers **D-H** (10 μ M) for 2 h at 37 °C.

Polymer immobilization of the ligand-binding domain of $Er\alpha'$ (application)



2PCA incorporates high-affinity binding proteins for endocrine-disrupting compounds into polymer matrix that can be easily detected. →Detection of those compounds in drinking water.

Capture and Recycling of SrtA (application)

Methods for the selective capture and subsequent recycling of enzyme were in high demand.



- Lithocholic acid was attached to SrtA by 2PCA-based chemistry.
- LA-SrtA is selectively captured with βCD functionalized resin.





Rosen, C. B., Kwant, R. L., MacDonald, J. I., Rao, M., & Francis, M. B. (2016). ACIE, 55(30), 8585-8589.

b)

N-terminal specific conjugation of ECM (application)

(ECM protein)

Collagen



Lee, J. P., Kassianidou, E., MacDonald, J. I., Francis, M. B., & Kumar, S. 50 (2016)., *Biomaterials, 102*, 268-276.

N-terminal modification for ADC



Thompson, P., Bezabeh, B., Fleming, R., Pruitt, M., Mao, S., Strout, P., . . . Dimasi, N. (2015). *Bioconjugate Chemistry*, *26*(10), 2085-2096.

Figure 10. 1C1-Ser-MMAE has potent and specific antitumor activi in a mouse xenograft model of human prostate cancer.