# Sonodynamic Therapy

Literature Seminar 2018. 12. 13 Kazuki Takahashi (M1)

- 1. Introduction
  - About ultrasound
  - Various medical uses of ultrasound
  - Safety range of frequency and intensity
- 2. Sonodynamic therapy for cancer cells
  - Photodynamic therapy & sonodynamic therapy
  - Example of drugs used for sonodynamic therapy
  - Mechanism & Drug design

#### 1. Introduction

- About ultrasound
- Various medical uses of ultrasound
- Safety range of frequency and intensity
- 2. Sonodynamic therapy for cancer cells
  - Photodynamic therapy & sonodynamic therapy
  - Example of drugs used for sonodynamic therapy
  - Mechanism & Drug design

#### About ultrasound

Ultrasound

- a mechanical wave through the displacements of the molecules constituting the medium in which the wave is travelling.
- similar in character to audible sound, but at frequencies greater than 20 kHz.
- a fundamentally different wave phenomenon from electromagnetic waves such as radio waves, infrared radiation and X-rays.

### Various medical uses of ultrasound

• Diagnosis



https://www.philips.co.jp/healthcare/product/HC79 5200/epiq-7-ultrasound-machine#galleryTab=CLI

Lithotripsy



https://www.practo.com/health-wiki/lithotripsy-meaning-procedure-and-cost/134/article

• Fracture healing



- A. Harrison, et al., Ultrasonics, 2016, 70, 45
- **HIFU** (High-intensity focused ultrasound)



James E. K, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2005, 5, 321

#### Practical range of frequency

- In the frequency range between 1 MHz and 20 MHz
  - practical use in clinical medicine for diagnostic, therapeutic and destructive purposes.
- The attenuation of the ultrasonic energy depends on the frequency of the wave.
  - the average attenuation coefficient in soft tissue
     =0.5 dB cm<sup>-1</sup> MHz<sup>-1</sup>

Gail ter Haar, The Safe Use of Ultrasound in Medical Diagnosis 3rd Edition, 2012, p9

- 5 MHz: 80% loss within the first 2.8 cm of tissue.
- 3 MHz: 80% loss within the first 4.7 cm of tissue.
- 2 MHz: 80% loss within the first 7.0 cm of tissue.
- 1 MHz: 80% loss within the first 14 cm of tissue.

The treatments by US are available within the first 10 cm of tissue.

X. Qian, et al., Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8097

#### US intensity

<b>我</b> 老 ("小吃"小吃自放运玩说站			
機器の種類	周波数	强度	
診断用(体内構造のイメージング) ・エコーカディオグラフィ(心エコー)	5MHz	3.4mW/cm	
<ul> <li>・エコーセファグラフィ(脳エコー)</li> </ul>	5MHz	3.4mW/cm	
・ドブラー血流計	5~10MHz	150mW/cm	
<ul> <li>・産料用診断装置</li> </ul>	2.25MHz	6.3mW/cm	
手術用 (熱及び機械的作用による組織の破壊)			
・胴石の破砕	0.1MHz	20~100W/cm	
治療用(湿熱あるいは非温熱作用)			
・物理療法及びリハビリテーション	0.75~3MHz	0.1~5W/cmi	

#### 表2 その他の超音波医療機器

- High intensity of US makes burn and cell death.
- Low intensity is not effective.
- US intensity is recommended between 0.1~5 MHz for treatment.

## Hydroxyl radical produced by cavitation



Figure 1. Principle of ultrasound cavitation [16]. The initiated bubbles grow due to evaporation and finally reach critical size (resonant) when it grows quickly and collapse violently. Figure 1. The reaction zone in the cavitation process (adapted from Chowdhury et al., 2009).

- Ultrasonic irradiation of liquids causes acoustic cavitations, i.e., the formation, growth and implosive collapse of bubbles.
- Such cavitation generates local sites of high temperature and pressure for short periods of time.
- The extreme temperature conditions generated by a collapsing bubble can also lead to the formation of radical chemical species.

Sabina Z., *et al.*, *Proceedings*, **2018**,<sup>8</sup>2, 188

#### Sonoluminescence produced by cavitation



Figure 1. Principle of ultrasound cavitation [16]. The initiated bubbles grow due to evaporation and finally reach critical size (resonant) when it grows quickly and collapse violently.

- The high temperatures generated in the collapsing bubbles by the increasing pressure would make the heated gases in the bubbles emit light.
- The spectrum of MBSL in water shows peaks that correspond to excited state OH\* (mainly at 310 nm)



**Figure 4** Multi-Bubble and Single-Bubble Sonoluminescence background subtracted spectra in 0.1 M NaCl solutions in water. Curves are not to scale and are standardised to the maximum intensity of each = 1.0. As well as a 'continuous' component, the Multi-Bubble graph shows clearly two identifiable peaks, at 310 nm, corresponding to OH\* and at around 590 nm corresponding to Na\*. The SBSL graph only shows a regular 'continuous' or 'featureless' pattern. Reproduced from<sup>79</sup>Matula T, Roy R, Mourad P, McNamara W, Suslick KS. Comparison of Multibubble and Single-Bubble Sonoluminescence Spectra. Phys. Rev. Letters 1995; 75(13):2602–2605 (with permission)

Franc, ois Hibou, Homeopathy, **2017**, 106, 181

- US is used for Diagnosis, Fracture healing, Lithotripsy, HIFU, and so on.
- US is able to penetrate into deep tissues (ten centimeter).
- Around 1 MHz of US frequency is recommended for practical use into deep tissues .
- 0.1~5 W/cm<sup>2</sup> of US intensity is also recommended.
- Cavitation in water generates hydroxy radical and other radical species, and makes sonoluminescence.

#### 1. Introduction

- About ultrasound
- Various medical uses of ultrasound
- Safety range of frequency and intensity
- 2. Sonodynamic therapy for cancer cells
  - Photodynamic therapy & sonodynamic therapy
  - Example of drugs used for sonodynamic therapy
  - Mechanism & Drug design

## Photodynamic therapy (PDT)



Fig. 2 Milestones of photodynamic therapy (PDT) and sonodynamic therapy (SDT) in tumor treatment. Cancer therapies, such as PDT or SDT, build on the administration of sensitive agents into tumor, followed by their activation by UV radiation or US wave, respectively. Activated photo- or sonosensitizers generate ROS that lead to cancer cell eradication

## Photodynamic Therapy (PDT)



FIGURE 1 | Schematic illustration of photodynamic therapy including the Jablonski diagram. The PS initially absorbs a photon that excites it to the first excited singlet state and this can relax to the more long lived triplet state. This triplet PS can interact with molecular oxygen in two pathways, type I and type II, leading to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and singlet oxygen respectively.

Tianhong D., et al., Front. Microbiol., 2012, 3, 120

ROS produced by photosensitizer & light has cytotoxicity.

#### Basic chemical structures for PDT



Ankit, S. et al. Drug Discovery Today. 2018, 23, 5

## Sonodynamic therapy (SDT)



Fig. 2 Milestones of photodynamic therapy (PDT) and sonodynamic therapy (SDT) in tumor treatment. Cancer therapies, such as PDT or SDT, build on the administration of sensitive agents into tumor, followed by their activation by UV radiation or US wave, respectively. Activated photo- or sonosensitizers generate ROS that lead to cancer cell eradication

#### Bogdan, et al., Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2017, 12, 225

## Sonodynamic therapy (SDT)



Fig. 2 Milestones of photodynamic therapy (PDT) and sonodynamic therapy (SDT) in tumor treatment. Cancer therapies, such as PDT or SDT, build on the administration of sensitive agents into tumor, followed by their activation by UV radiation or US wave, respectively. Activated photo- or sonosensitizers generate ROS that lead to cancer cell eradication

#### First example of drugs used for SDT



Yumita, N et al., Jpn. J. Cancer Res., **1989**, 80, 219

#### First example of drugs used for SDT



a) Number of experiments.

b) Significantly different from the value of US alone at the same intensity.

## Other examples of drugs used for SDT

#### Porphyrin compound sensitizers





 $R^1$ ,  $R^2 = OCH_3$ , OH or OH, OCH\_3 Hematoporphyrin monomethyl ether





Protoporphyrin IX

ATX-70

#### Xanthone compound sensitizers

Hematoporphyrin





Erythosin B (EB)

Rose bengal (RB)





non-steroid anti-inflammatory agent sensitizers



Lomefloxacin (LFLX)

Levofloxacin (LVFX)

Sparfloxacin (SPFX)









5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA)

Methylene blue

Indocyanine green (ICG)

Liu R., et al., Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy, 2017, 19, 159

#### Mechanism of SDT (*in vitro*)



1: M = 2H<sup>+</sup> 2: M = Fe<sup>3+</sup>(CI)

3: M = Zn<sup>2+</sup> 4: M = Pd<sup>2+</sup>

Fig. 1. Structures of porphyrins 1-4.

- Investigating the US-responsiveness of a variety of metal-porphyrin complexes, freebase porphyrin and Fe(III), Zn(II) and Pd(II) porphyrin.
- Analyzing their ROS generation under US exposure and related bio-effects.

#### Sono-induced ROS detected by EPR



Fig. 2. Generation of hydroxyl radicals (A) and of singlet oxygen (B) by the various porphyrins (1-4) following activation by US power at  $1.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$  for 5 min at 1.866 MHz, as detected by EPR spectroscopy. Signal intensity is proportional to the amount of reactive species generated.

- Porphyrin 3 has the highest hydroxyl radical generation efficiency.
- Porphyrin 4 generated most singlet oxygens.

#### Sonoluminescence

• Sonoluminescence emission spectra



Fig. 4. Sonoluminescence emission spectra of porphyrin 3 (black curve) and 4 (red curve) solutions under air saturation during US irradiation at  $1.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$  for 5 min, at 1.866 MHz. The blue curve refers to multi bubble sonoluminescence (MBSL) recorded in aqueous solution, while the grey curve corresponds to the background of the acquiring system.

- SL emitted both in water (blue) and in the presence of porphyrins 3 (black) and 4 (red).
- The hydroxyl radical emission observed in the presence of porphyrin 3.

F. Giuntini, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2018, 121, 190

22

### Effects of SDT on HT-29 cells



Fig. 6. Effects of sonodynamic treatment on HT-29 cell growth. HT-29 cells were incubated for 24 h with the various porphyrins (1, 2 and 4 at 500  $\mu$ M; 3 at 250  $\mu$ M) and then exposed to US power at 1.5 W/cm<sup>2</sup> for 5 min at 1.866 MHz. Cell proliferation was evaluated after 24, 48 and 72 h using the WST-1 assay. Statistically significant difference *versus* untreated cells: \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

Fig. 1. Structures of porphyrins 1-4.

23

- Porphyrin 1 and 2 did not cause a significant reduction in HT-29 cell growth under US exposure.
- porphyrin 3 and 4 lead to a significant HT-29 cell growth decrease under US exposure.

#### Cell death analysis

Table 1

Cell death analysis 24 h after treatment.					
HT-29 cells	Live cells	Apoptotic cells	Necrotic cells		
Untreated cells Light US 4 4 + Light	$95.2 \pm 8.3$ $94.9 \pm 9.2$ $95.0 \pm 7.3$ $95.4 \pm 8.4$ $53.3 \pm 4.5$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$2.3 \pm 0.6$ $0.9 \pm 0.2$ $1.8 \pm 0.5$ $0.6 \pm 0.1$ $39.7 \pm 2.5^{***}$		
4 + US	$60.4 \pm 5.8$	$19.9 \pm 3.1$	$19.7 \pm 4.5$		

Statistical significance vs untreated cells: .

< 0.01.p < 0.001.

Detected by Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit, with Annexin V-Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> and propidium iodide.

- 4 + US induced both necrotic and apoptotic cell death.
- Light exposure was responsible for necrotic cell death because the higher singlet oxygen production.

#### Cell death by autophagy

• HT-29 cells after SDT with porphyrin 4



Fig. 10. Representative TEM images of HT-29 cells after sonodynamic treatment with porphyrin 4. Untreated cells (A, 7500x), cells only exposed to US ( $1.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$  for 5 min at 1.866 MHz; B, 7500x) and cells 12 h after sonodynamic treatment with porhyrin 4 having been pre-incubated for 24 h at 500  $\mu$ M (C, 6000x; D, 12,000x) are displayed. Vesicles that contained residual digested material or cellular content are indicated by arrows and lipid droplets by stars.

#### Autophagic cell death was observed in this TEM image.

- Metal ions affect the ability of porphyrins to undergo intersystem crossing (ISC) and can also influence the lifetimes of the resulting excited triplets.
- Porphyrin activation by US can be mediated by photo-activation via sonoluminescence.
- SDT of porphyrin 4 is not effective than PDT.

#### SDT vs PDT



**Fig. 1.** Low-intensity ultrasound with DEG results in significant cytotoxicity toward MKN-74 tumor cells. (A) Chemical structures of DEG and ATX-70. (B and C) In vitro phototoxicity and sonotoxicity, respectively, of DEG and ATX-70 for MKN-74 cells. MKN-74 cells suspended in PBS containing 5.0  $\mu$ M DEG or ATX-70 were exposed to (B) visible light or (C) low-intensity ultrasound, and subsequently cell viability was determined using CCK-8 as described under Materials and methods. None, no treatment; Light/U.S. only, cells were exposed to light or ultrasound only. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n=6). \*p < 0.001, significantly different from each other group (Dunnett's test). (D) Fluorescence photomicrographs of cells treated by sonication with or without DEG. Cells were stained using the LIVE/DEAD cell-mediated cytotoxicity kit. Living and dead cells are stained green and red, respectively.

- Exposure to light in the presence of DEG was not cytotoxic for MKN-74 cells, whereas strong phototoxicity was observed with ATX-70.
- MKN-74 cells were significantly damaged by ultrasound irradiation in the presence of DEG, whereas sonotoxicity with ATX-70 was less effective.

H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2012, 53, 464

#### Tumor growth analysis



**Fig. 4.** SDT with DEG greatly inhibits tumor growth in a mouse xenograft model with MKN-74 cells. (A) Antitumor effect of SDT with DEG in a mouse xenograft model. SCID mice were subcutaneously inoculated with  $5 \times 10^6$  MKN-74 cells. After developing tumors with a diameter of approximately 7 mm, the mice were intravenously injected with DEG and then treated with SDT 10 min later, as described under Materials and methods. Arrows indicate days of treatment with SDT or SDT with DEG. None, no treatment; U.S. only, SDT only; DEG+U.S., SDT with DEG. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n=5). \*p < 0.05, significantly different from the no-treatment group (Bonferroni's multiple comparison test). (B) Typical photographs of mice at the end of the experiment, after inoculation with MKN-74 cells and treatment with SDT or SDT with DEG or no treatment.

 SDT with DEG three times a week for 2 weeks potently inhibited tumor growth compared to SDT alone or no treatment.

H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, **2012**, 53, 464 <sup>28</sup>

#### SDT in vivo





B





DEG + U.S.



U.S. only

None

**Fig. 4.** SDT with DEG greatly inhibits tumor growth in a mouse xenograft model with MKN-74 cells. (A) Antitumor effect of SDT with DEG in a mouse xenograft model. SCID mice were subcutaneously inoculated with  $5 \times 10^6$  MKN-74 cells. After developing tumors with a diameter of approximately 7 mm, the mice were intravenously injected with DEG and then treated with SDT 10 min later, as described under Materials and methods. Arrows indicate days of treatment with SDT or SDT with DEG. None, no treatment; U.S. only, SDT only; DEG+U.S., SDT with DEG. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n=5). \*p < 0.05, significantly different from the no-treatment group (Bonferroni's multiple comparison test). (B) Typical photographs of mice at the end of the experiment, after inoculation with MKN-74 cells and treatment with SDT or SDT with DEG or no treatment.

 At the end of the experiment, no apparent adverse side effects, including skin sensitivity, were observed in the mice injected with DEG.

H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2012, 53, 464

#### Comparative histochemical analysis



**Fig. 5.** A large damaged area in the tumor mass after treatment with SDT with DEG. (A and B) Histological sections from the tumors on the final day of treatment with SDT (original magnification  $20 \times$ ): (A) H&E staining and (B) ApoMark DNA fragmentation detection kit. Although spontaneous cell damage was detected in all sections with apoptotic cells (dark brown nuclei), a large damaged area (cavity) was observed only in the tumor section that received treatment with U.S.+DEG. Fewer apoptotic cells were detected around the cavity compared to the areas that were spontaneously damaged. At the edge of the cavity in the U.S.+DEG tumor section, apoptotic cells were seldom detected (arrows). The stained cells in the area that was spontaneously damaged seem quite similar in tumor sections from both U.S. only and None.

 spontaneous damage was observed on histochemical analysis in the cancer tissue even without treatment, a large cavity was observed in tumor only after SDT with DEG.

H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2012, 53, 464

## Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC)



Antibody-drug conjugat (ADC)

- Antibody can carry Drug to the target cells selectively.
- ADC is expected suppressing the side-effect to normal cells.

#### ADC for Sonodynamic therapy

• How to synthesize ADC (DAR is no data.)



Figure 1. Schematic representation of preparation of PIC between ATX-70 and F11-39.

Abe H., et al., Anticancer Research, 2002, 22, 1575 <sup>32</sup>

#### Example of ADC for SDT

• SDT in vivo



1 MHz, 2 W/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 min

F39/ATX-70 with US inhibited tumor growth compared to US alone or ATX-70 alone.



Figure 6. In vivo antitumor effects of SDT using F39/ATX-70. Balb/c athymic nude mouse were subcutaneously inoculated MKN-45 cells (5  $\times 10^6$ ) on the backs. After development of tumors with a diameter range from 5 to 7 mm, the mice were given intravenous injection of F39/ATX-70 or ATX-70 (3 mg/kg, ATX-70 equivalent) dissolved in PBS (Day -1). After 24 hours (Day 0), the tumor was sonicated with Sonitron 1000. US, ultrasound alone; ATX-70, free ATX-70 plus ultrasound; F39/ATX-70, F39/ATX-70 plus ultrasound. Bars, means  $\pm$ SD (N=5).

Abe H., et al., Anticancer Research, **2002**, 22, 1575

#### Summary

- US frequency is recommended for use around 1 MHz, US intensity is recommended at 1~5 W/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- SDT is a non-invasive treatment for tumors located in the deep places of the body.
- Sonosensitizers are activated by sonoluminescence.
- ADC for SDT is few reported, but reported to be effective to tumor.
- SDT and sonochemistry is a developing study, so it will be developed more rapidly in the future.

## Appendix

#### US used at some friquency



Figure 1. A general summary of biomedical applications of ultrasound at different frequencies, as reported in the literature. Reproduced with permission.<sup>[29]</sup> Copyright 2005, Nature Publishing Group.

#### X. Qian, et al., Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8097

## HIFU

- HIFU (High-intensity focused ultrasound)
  - Thermal toxicity occurs if tissue temperatures are raised above a threshold of 56° C for at least 1 second, leading to irreversible cell death through coagulative necrosis.



James E. K, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2005, 5, 321

#### Example of ADC for SDT

• SDT in vivo





Figure 5. In vitro sonotoxicity of F39/ATX-70 on CEA-expressing cells. KATO-III cells were incubated with 25  $\mu$ M ATX-70 or equivalent of F39/ATX-70 in PBS for 1 hour at 4°C. After washing twice, the cells were suspended in 1 ml of air-saturated PBS and given ultrasound. US, ultrasound alone; ATX-70, free ATX-70 plus ultrasound; F39/ATX-70, F39/ATX-70 plus ultrasound. The viability of non-treated cells was 91.5±1.8%. Bars, means±SD (N=5). \*, p< 0.05; \*\*, p< 0.01.

Abe H., et al., Anticancer Research, **2002**, 22, 1575

#### SDT in vivo



**Fig. 1.** Low-intensity ultrasound with DEG results in significant cytotoxicity toward MKN-74 tumor cells. (A) Chemical structures of DEG and ATX-70. (B and C) In vitro phototoxicity and sonotoxicity, respectively, of DEG and ATX-70 for MKN-74 cells. MKN-74 cells suspended in PBS containing 5.0  $\mu$ M DEG or ATX-70 were exposed to (B) visible light or (C) low-intensity ultrasound, and subsequently cell viability was determined using CCK-8 as described under Materials and methods. None, no treatment; Light/U.S. only, cells were exposed to light or ultrasound only. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n=6). \*p < 0.001, significantly different from each other group (Dunnett's test). (D) Fluorescence photomicrographs of cells treated by sonication with or without DEG. Cells were stained using the LIVE/DEAD cell-mediated cytotoxicity kit. Living and dead cells are stained green and red, respectively.

 Visual inspection also showed increased dead cells after ultrasound irradiation with DEG compared to no treatment or the control.

#### Cell death analysis



**Fig. 2.** Sonication with DEG caused damage to MKN-74 cells due to necrosis but not due to apoptosis. (A) No increase in apoptotic cells after sonication with DEG. After exposure to low-intensity ultrasound with or without DEG, MKN-74 cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with the Vybrant Apoptosis Assay Kit No. 8. SYTOX green fluorescence versus R-PE fluorescence was plotted and analyzed using CellQuest Pro software. Although the number of dead cells increased after exposure to ultrasound in the presence of DEG, these were not apoptotic. (B) No apoptotic signals in Western blot analysis after ultrasound irradiation with DEG. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies associated with apoptosis. After sonication with or without DEG, no apoptotic signals increased, whereas these signals clearly increased after treating with 0.05% saponin for 2 h (T1) or incubating in PBS for 24 h (T2).

 No apoptotic signals increased after ultrasound irradiation with or without DEG, according to Western blot analysis up to 24 h.

H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2012, 53, 464

#### Cell death analysis



**Fig. 3.** ROS are generated and mediate sonotoxicity of ultrasound with DEG on MKN-74 cells. (A) ROS were eliminated using ROS scavengers after sonication with DEG. MKN-74 cells in the presence or absence of ROS scavengers (TU and TMU, 10 mM; L-histidine, D-mannitol, or NAC, 0.1 mM) were exposed to low-intensity ultrasound with DEG, and subsequently cell viability was determined using CCK-8 as described under Materials and methods. None, no treatment; U.S., cells were exposed to ultrasound only. Data are means  $\pm$  SD (n=6). \*p < 0.05, significantly different from U.S. only group (Dunnett's test). (B) Lipid in the cell membrane became peroxidized after sonication with DEG. Membrane lipid peroxidation of MKN-74 cells was analyzed by flow cytometry using Spy-LHP after sonication with or without DEG. Lipid peroxidation of cell membranes markedly increased after treating with ultrasound with DEG. Plain line with white fill, no treatment; plain line with gray fill, ultrasound irradiation alone; bold line with white fill, sonication with DEG.

#### H. Tsuru, et al., Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 2012, 53, 464

#### Photo-induced ROS detected by EPR



**Fig. 3.** Generation of hydroxyl radicals (A) and of singlet oxygen (B) by the various porphyrins (1–4) following activation by light power at 51.8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 5 min at 400–1050 nm, as detected by EPR spectroscopy. Signal intensity is proportional to the amount of reactive species generated.

- All porphyrins showed comparable singlet oxygen generation efficiency.
- Light irradiation is more efficient than US exposure for excitation.

#### Oxidation of DMPO



SCHEME 1. Proposed mechanism for the generation of DMPOX from DMPO by cyt  $c/H_2O_2$  and its suppression by ethanol. DMPOX is the 2-electron oxidation product of the DMPO/hydroxyl radical adduct (DMPO/OH). As the  $\alpha$ -hydroxyethyl radical adduct was not detected upon the inclusion of ethanol, formation of the DMPO/OH adduct cannot involve the trapping of free 'OH (*dashed arrows*). Instead, it is proposed that DMPO/OH is formed by inverted spin trapping, involving the nucleophilic addition of water to the DMPO radical cation, formed by 1-electron oxidation of the spin trap by cyt  $c/H_2O_2$  (which is also responsible for further oxidation of DMPO/OH to DMPOX). Ethanol is proposed to inhibit the formation of DMPOX by competing with water for nucleophilic addition to the DMPO radical cation, eventually forming an EPR-silent nitrone.

Andrew L., *et al.*, *JBC*, **2003**, *278*, 29410

43

#### Effects of PDT on HT-29 cells



**Fig. 7.** Effects of photodynamic treatment on HT-29 cell growth. HT-29 cells were incubated for 24 h with the various porphyrins (1, 2 and 4 at 500  $\mu$ M; 3 at 250  $\mu$ M) and then exposed to light power at 51.8 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 5 min at 400–1050 nm. Cell proliferation was evaluated after 24, 48 and 72 h using the WST-1 assay. Statistically significant difference *versus* untreated cells: \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001.

• All porphyrins induced a significant reduction in cell proliferation under light irradiation.

## ROS production after SDT



Fig. 8. HT-29 reactive oxygen species production after sonodynamic treatment. HT-29 cells were exposed to US  $(1.5 \text{ W/cm}^2 \text{ for 5 min at } 1.866 \text{ MHz})$  either alone or after 24 h incubation with the various porphyrins  $(1, 2 \text{ and } 4 \text{ at } 500 \,\mu\text{M}; 3 \text{ at } 250 \,\mu\text{M})$ . ROS levels were determined according to the 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) assay using flow cytometry and expressed as the integrated average fluorescence ratio (iMFI) ratio, as described in Materials and Methods. Statistically significant difference *versus* untreated cells (represented by a dashed lines): \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001.

Fig. 1. Structures of porphyrins 1-4.

45

- Porphyrin 3 and 4 showed ROS emission after US irradiation.
- Porphyrin 4 showed the highest US-responsiveness.

## ROS production after PDT



Fig. 9. HT-29 reactive oxygen species production after photodynamic treatment. HT-29 cells were exposed to light ( $51.8 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  for 5 min at 400–1050 nm), either alone or after cell incubation for 24 h with the various porphyrins (1, 2 and 4 at 500 µM; 3 at 250 µM). ROS levels were determined according to the 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) assay using flow cytometry and expressed as the integrated average fluorescence ratio (iMFI) ratio, as described in Materials and Methods. Statistically significant difference *versus* untreated cells (represented by a dashed lines): \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001.



- Every porphyrin produced more ROS after light irradiation than after US irradiation.
- Porphyrin 4 showed the most ROS emission.

#### Cell death analysis



**Fig. 10.** Representative TEM images of HT-29 cells after sonodynamic treatment with porphyrin 4. Untreated cells (A, 7500x), cells only exposed to US (1.5 W/cm<sup>2</sup> for 5 min at 1.866 MHz; B, 7500x) and cells 12 h after sonodynamic treatment with porhyrin 4 having been pre-incubated for 24 h at 500 µM (C, 6000x; D, 12,000x) are displayed. Vesicles that contained residual digested material or cellular content are indicated by arrows and lipid droplets by stars.

## Antibody-drug conjugate (ADC)

• 2 types of Drug moiety



#### Enzyme & Photo cleavable linker

#### • Enzyme-cleavable linker

Jessica R. M., *et al.*, *The AAPS Journal*, **2015**, *17*, 339



#### Photo-cleavable linker

Roger R. N., *et al.*, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, **2017**, *3*, 329



### Sonolysis linker

• Sonolysis



• Sonolysis linker will realize non-invasive treatment of tumors in the deeper place of the body.

#### Example of sonolysis reaction

• Sonolysis



Kenneth S. S., *et al., Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **2008**, *59*, 659 Zineb B., *et al., Turk. J. Chem.*, **2017**, *41*, 99 N.E. Chadi, *et al., Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **2018**, *200*, 68

#### Degradation of NBB by US in water



- The NBB concentration decreased exponentially with time.
- In absence of substrate, the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  increased linearly with sonication time.

H. Ferkous, et al., Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, **2015**, 26, 40

#### Sonolysis by safe ultrasound



Time (min)

H. Ferkous, et al., Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 2015, 26, 40

#### Sonolysis by safe ultrasound



#### N<sub>2</sub> inhibits sonolysis reaction competitively.



J. Yao et al., Ultrason. Sonochem., **2018**, 42, 42 Supeno, et al., Ultrason. Sonochem., **2000**, 7, 109

**Fig. 7.** Effect of different dissolved gases on the sonochemical degradation of NBB (conditions: volume: 300 mL, initial dye concentration: 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, temperature: 25 °C, pH ~ 6, frequency: 585 kHz, acoustic intensity: 3.58 W cm<sup>-2</sup>).

N-N bond is cleavable in water under US exposure.

#### H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> evolution (Method)



1 mL of potassium iodide (0.1 M) and 20  $\mu$ L of ammonium heptamolybdate (0.01 M). The iodide ion (I<sup>-</sup>) reacts with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to form the triiodide ion (I<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>). The mixed solutions were allowed to stand for 5 min before absorbance was measured. The absorbance was recorded with a UV–visible spectrophotometer (Lightwave II) at the maximum wavelength of the formed triiodide (I<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) (352 nm; the molar absorptivity = 26,300 L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

H. Ferkous, et al., Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 2015, 26, 40

#### COD abatement (Method)



#### COD: Chemical oxygen demand.

Test solution (2 mL) was transferred into the dichromate reagent and digested at 150  $^{\circ}$  C for 2 h. The optical density for the color change of dichromate solution was determined with a spectrophotometer at 440 nm.

H. Ferkous, et al., Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, **2015**, 26, 40